





















































































September 15, 2023

Dear Governor Newsom

On behalf of the millions of patients and health care providers we represent across California, we urge you to promptly sign SB 496.

Biomarker testing connects patients with the most effective treatments.

Precision medicine uses biomarker testing to gather information about a person's own body to prevent, diagnose, or treat disease. This information is found by testing a patient's tissue, blood, or other biospecimen for the presence

of a biomarker (e.g., genetic alterations, molecular signatures). The results of biomarker testing can help determine the treatments that will work best for a specific patient and can also allow patients to avoid treatments that are likely to be ineffective.

In certain areas of medicine, like cancer care, advances in precision medicine have been progressing rapidly in recent years and have led to targeted cancer therapies that work by interfering with specific cellular processes involved in the growth, spread, and progression of cancer. In other words, effective treatments can be selected based on the tumor itself, rather than just its location in the body. Additionally, appropriate biomarker testing can help doctors determine which cancer patients are more likely to have recurring or more aggressive disease so that patients at low risk of recurrence may choose to avoid unnecessary treatment.

Research shows that targeted therapy can improve health outcomes, increase quality of life, and prolong patient survival. II, III

Using the traditional trial and error method to identify an effective treatment for a particular patient can take months — even years. In chronic, degenerative diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, any length of time spent trying (and failing) on ineffective treatments allows the disease to continue causing irreversible damage to the joints, increasing health care consumption and costs. In cancer care and some autoimmune conditions, the length of time it takes to identify an effective treatment can be a matter of life or death. In all cases, ineffective treatments exacerbate the physical, emotional, and economic burdens of disease, and the price is paid by both the patient and the insurer.

SB 496 aligns insurance coverage of biomarker testing with the latest medical evidence.

This language has been thoroughly vetted and received **broad support from patients**, **providers**, **industry groups**, **and lawmakers**. Comparable legislation has passed and been signed into law in Arizona, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, and Texas with overwhelming bipartisan support.

Despite evidence pointing to the clinical benefits associated with biomarker testing, routine clinical use does not always follow, and testing rates lag behind clinical guideline recommendations. In a 2021 survey, 66% of oncology providers reported that insurance coverage for biomarker testing is a significant or moderate barrier to appropriate biomarker testing.^{IV}

Without action to expand coverage and access to biomarker testing, advances in precision medicine could exacerbate existing disparities in access to care and, consequently, health outcomes associated with race, ethnicity, income, and geography.

Despite the clear benefits of biomarker testing, many insurance plans do not cover evidence-based biomarker testing for all patients who need it. Improving coverage for and access to biomarker testing across insurance types is key to reducing health disparities. We must remove barriers to biomarker testing and precision medicine, and ensure all patients, regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or zip code, benefit from better care. SB 496 will help remove barriers to biomarker testing to ensure that patients can unlock the value and cost-savings potential of precision medicine.

If you have any questions about this legislation, please reach out to Autumn Odgen-Smith, Director of California Legislation with the American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, at autumn.ogden@cancer.org.

Sincerely,

Aimed Alliance
ALS Association
American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network
American Kidney Fund
American Lung Association
Arthritis Foundation

Biomarker Collaborative
CancerCare
Cancer Support Community/Gilda's Club
CLL Society
Coalition of State Rheumatology Organizations
Colorectal Cancer Alliance

Crohn's and Colitis Foundation

End Preeclampsia Exon20 Group

Fight Colorectal Cancer

Global Colon Cancer Association Global Healthy Living Foundation

Go2 for Lung Cancer HEAL Collaborative

ICAN - International Cancer Advocacy Network International Foundation for Autoimmune &

Autoinflammatory Arthritis (AiA)

KRAS Kickers

Lung Cancer Research Foundation

LUNGevity Foundation

Lupus and Allied Diseases Association, Inc.

Melanoma Research Foundation

Mended Hearts, Inc. MET Crusaders

Michael J. Fox Foundation

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

National Donor Marrow Program/Be the Match

National Organization for Rare Disorders

National Ovarian Cancer Coalition

National Psoriasis Foundation

Oncology Nursing Society

One Cancer Place

Patients Rising Now

PDL1 Amplifieds

Susan G. Komen

Transplant Life Foundation

Triage Cancer

VHL Alliance

NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms. https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms/def/precision-medicine.

ii Gutierrez, M. E., Choi, K., Lanman, R. B., Licitra, E. J., Skrzypczak, S. M., Pe Benito, R., Wu, T., Arunajadai, S., Kaur, S., Harper, H., Pecora, A. L., Schultz, E. V., & Goldberg, S. L. (2017). Genomic Profiling of Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer in Community Settings: Gaps and Opportunities. Clinical lung cancer, 18(6), 651–659. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cllc.2017.04.004 iii Mendelsohn, J., Lazar, V., & Kurzrock, R. (2015). Impact of Precision Medicine in Diverse Cancers: A Meta-Analysis of Phase II Clinical Trials. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, 33(32), 3817–3825. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2015.61.5997

iv ACS CAN. "Survey Findings Summary: Understanding Provider Utilization of Cancer Biomarker Testing Across Cancers." Dec, 2021.

https://www.fightcancer.org/sites/default/files/national_documents/provider_utilization_of_biomarker_testing_polling_memo_dec_20 21.pdf